arXiv:1303.0627v1 [math.AP] 4 Mar 2013

A FEW REMARKS ON ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

PAWEŁ J. SZABŁOWSKI

Abstract. Using Cholesky decomposition of the moment matrix of measure α, we define the set of polynomials orthonormal with respect to α. We give some properties of them including those related to Christoffel function. We are also able to give simple formula for expansion of monomial x^n in orthonormal polynomials and define general algorithm for obtaining the so called linearization coefficients.

Considering two measures α and δ and two sets of polynomials orthogonal with respect to them we are able to give general formula for the connection coefficients between the two sets of polynomials. Moreover if $\alpha << \delta$ and Radon–Nikodym derivative $d\alpha/d\delta$ is square integrable with respect to $d\delta$ then we expand $d\alpha/d\delta$ in Fourier series of polynomials orthonormal with respect to δ. We illustrate developed theory by providing yet another proof of the famous Poisson–Mehler expansion formula.

We also find general expressions for the power coefficients of orthogonal polynomials and moments in terms of the coefficients of 3-term recurrence at least for symmetric distributions.

In all these considerations the new interpretations of the inverse of the moment matrix are presented.

1. Introduction

Let us first make some remarks concerning notation. $\alpha, \beta, \ldots$ will denote positive measures. In order to be able to use sometimes probabilistic notation we will assume that all considered measures are normalized. Integrals of integrable function f with respect to measure say α will be denoted by either of the following notations

$$\int f(x)d\alpha(s),\ \int fd\alpha, Ef,\ Ef(X),\ E_{\alpha}f(X),$$

depending on the context and the need to specify details. Above X denotes random variable with distribution α. Probability theory assures that it always exist.

Matrices and vectors (always columns) will be generally denoted by bold type letters. The most important vector and matrix are $\mathbf{X}_n = (1, x, \ldots, x^n)^T$ ($T-$transposition) and

$$\mathbf{M}_n(\alpha) = \left[m_{i+j}(\alpha)\right]_{j,i=0,\ldots,n}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $m_n(\alpha) = \int x^n d\alpha(x)$. Another words $\mathbf{M}_n(\alpha) = E_{\alpha}\mathbf{X}_n\mathbf{X}_n^T$. Let us also introduce also vectors consisting of successive moments

$$\mathbf{m}_n^T = (1, \ldots, m_n).$$

Date: January 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42C05, 42C10; Secondary 33D45.

Key words and phrases. Moment problem, moment matrix, Cholesky decomposition, Radon–Nikodym derivative, connection coefficients, linearization coefficients.

Let us remark immediately that the matrix $\mathbf{M}_n$ is the main submatrix of the matrix $\mathbf{M}_{n+1}$. Let us also introduce sequence

$$\Delta_n(\alpha) = \det \mathbf{M}_n(\alpha), \tag{1.2}$$

$n \geq 1$, of determinants of matrices $\mathbf{M}_n(\alpha)$.

In order to avoid repetition of assumption we will assume that matrices $\mathbf{M}_n$ exist for all $n \geq 0$. Obviously $(0,0)$ entry of the matrix $\mathbf{M}_n$ is equal to 1.

We know that given measure α such that all moments exist one can define set of polynomials $\{p_n(x,\alpha)\}_{n\geq -1}$ with $p_{-1}(x,\alpha) = 0$, $p_0(x,\alpha) = 1$ and such that

$$\int p_n\left(x,\alpha\right) p_m(x,\alpha) d\alpha(x) = \delta_{n,m},$$

where $\delta_{n,m}$ denoted Kronecker's delta. Moreover if we declare that all leading coefficients of polynomials $p_n(x,\alpha)$ are positive then coefficients $\pi_{n,i}(\alpha)$ of the expansion

$$p_n\left(x,\alpha\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \pi_{n,i}\left(\alpha\right) x^i, \tag{1.3}$$

are defined uniquely by the measure α. According to our convention, later we will drop dependence on α, if measure α is clearly specified.

Let us define vectors $\mathbf{P}_n(x) = (p_0(x), \ldots, p_n(x))^T$ and the lower triangular matrix $\mathbf{\Pi}_n$ with entries $\pi_{i,j}$. Of course we set $\pi_{i,j} = 0$ for $j > i$. We obviously have:

$$\mathbf{P}_n(x) = \mathbf{\Pi}_n \mathbf{X}_n. \tag{1.4}$$

To continue introduction of notation let $\lambda_{n,i}(\alpha)$ denote coefficients in the following expansions:

$$x^n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \lambda_{n,i}(\alpha) p_i\left(x,\alpha\right). \tag{1.5}$$

Consequently let us introduce lower triangular matrices $\mathbf{\Lambda}_n$ with entries $\lambda_{i,j}$ if $i \geq j$ and 0 otherwise.

We obviously have:

$$\mathbf{X}_n = \mathbf{\Lambda}_n \mathbf{P}_n(x),\ \mathbf{\Pi}_n \mathbf{\Lambda}_n = \mathbf{\Lambda}_n \mathbf{\Pi}_n = \mathbf{I}_n, \tag{1.6}$$

where $\mathbf{I}_n$ denotes $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ identity matrix.

Since polynomials $\{p_n\}$ are orthonormal then there exist two number sequences $\{a_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$ such that polynomials $\{p_n\}$ satisfy the following 3-term recurrence:

$$x p_n(x) = a_{n+1} p_{n+1}(x) + b_n p_n(x) + a_n p_{n-1}(x), \tag{1.7}$$

with $a_0 = 0$ and $n \geq 0$. We know also that

$$a_n = \frac{\pi_{n-1,n-1}}{\pi_{n,n}},\ b_n = \int x p_n^2\left(x\right) d\alpha\left(x\right), \tag{1.8}$$

consequently that $b_0 = m_1$. For details see e.g. [1] or [4].

Combining (1.7) and (1.8) we get the following set of recursive equations to be satisfied by coefficients $\pi_{n,j}$.

$$a_{n+1}\pi_{n+1,0} + b_n \pi_{n,0} + a_n \pi_{n-1,0} = 0, \tag{1.9}$$

$$a_{n+1}\pi_{n+1,j} + b_n \pi_{n,j} + a_n \pi_{n-1,j} = \pi_{n,j-1}, \tag{1.10}$$

for $n \geq 0$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, remembering that $\pi_{n,j} = 0$ for $j > n$.

Remark 1. *As it follows from formula (2.1.6) of* [3] *coefficients* $\pi_{n,i}$ *can be expressed as determinants of certain submatrices built of moment matrix* $\mathbf{M}_n$*. In particular denoting by* $D_n^{(i,j)}$ *the determinant of a submatrix obtained by removing row number* $i+1$ *and column number* $j+1$ *of the matrix* $\mathbf{M}_n$*. We have* $\pi_{n,i} = (-1)^{n-i}D_n^{(i,n)}/\sqrt{\Delta_n\Delta_{n-1}}$.

Let us also consider family of associated polynomials $\{q_n(x)\}_{n\geq-1}$. As it follows say [1] or [7] they satisfy the same 3-term recurrence but with different initial values. Namely we assume that $q_{-1}(x) = -1$ and $q_0(x) = 0$. Following (1.7) we see that then $q_1(x) = 1/a_1$. One knows also (see e.g. [7]) that

$$q_n(x) = \int \frac{p_n(x) - p_n(y)}{x-y} d\alpha(y).$$

Now since $(x^k - y^k)/(x-y) = x^{k-1} + x^{k-2}y + \ldots + y^{k-1}$, $p_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \pi_{n,j}x^j$ and $\int y^k d\alpha\left(y\right) = m_k$ we deduce that

$$q_n\left(x\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \pi_{n,j} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} m_{j-1-k}x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x^k \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \pi_{n,j}m_{j-1-k}. \tag{1.11}$$

Let us define also $(n+1)-$vector $\mathbf{Q}_n(x) = (0, q_1(x), \ldots, q_n(x))^T$.

It should be stressed that our results in fact mostly concern the so called "truncated moment problem" that is we in fact assume that we know finite number (say $2n+1$ including moment or order 0) of moments of some distribution. That is in many cases the assumption that the matrix $\mathbf{M}_n$ exists for all n will not be needed. Then we derive n polynomial $\{p_i\}_{i=0}^{n}$ that are mutually orthogonal, we find coefficients of expansion of x^i in terms of these polynomials as well as we derive all so called linearization coefficients i.e. coefficients of the expansions $p_i(x)p_j(x)$ in polynomials $\{p_i\}_{i=0}^{n}$ for all $i+j \leq n$.

Given two distributions (say α and δ) and 2 respective moment sequences we are able to derive all so called "connection coefficients" i.e. coefficients of the expansion of say $p_j\left(x,\delta\right)$ in $\{p_i(x,\alpha)\}_{i=0}^{j}$ and conversely. Due to very efficient numerical algorithms of Cholesky decomposition and inversion of lower triangular matrices all these calculations can be done within seconds using today's computers.

Of course we present also results that require existence of all moments. These are some limit properties of arithmetic averages of orthogonal polynomials and more importantly results concerning expansions of Radon–Nikodym derivatives of one distribution with respect to the other (see (2.8)).

The paper is organized as follows. In the next Section 2 are our main results. As we think particularly interesting are the ones concerning connection coefficients presented in Subsection 2.1 containing not only formula for the connection coefficients between two sets of orthogonal polynomials related to two measures but also expansion of Radon–Nikodym derivative of one measure with respect to the other in a Fourier series of orthogonal polynomials related to one of the measures. Interesting seems also Subsection 2.2 presenting general formula for the linearization coefficients. Longer and uninteresting proofs are shifted to Section 3.

2. Cholesky decomposition and main results

Our basic tool in what follows is the so called Cholesky decomposition of the symmetric, positive definite matrix. Below we collect some of the properties of Cholesky decomposition in the following simple proposition.

Proposition 1 (Cholesky)**.** *Suppose positive normalize measure α has support of infinite cardinality and $\int x^{2N}d\alpha$ for some $N\geq 0$. Then*

i) $\forall\ N\geq n\geq 1$ there exists unique real, non-singular lower triangular matrix $\mathbf{L}_n(\alpha)$ such that $\mathbf{M}_n(\alpha)=\mathbf{L}_n(\alpha)\mathbf{L}_n^T(\alpha)$.

ii) entries of matrix $\mathbf{L}_N$ can be calculated recursively

$$l_{n,n}=\sqrt{m_{2n}-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}l_{n,j}^2},\ l_{n+1,k}=(m_{n+k+1}-\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}l_{n+1,j}l_{k,j})/l_{k,k}, \tag{2.1}$$

with $l_{0,0}=1$ for $n=0,\ldots,N$. Entries $l_{n,n}$ have also the following interpretation:

$$l_{n,n}^2=\frac{\Delta_n}{\Delta_{n-1}}, \tag{2.2}$$

where sequence $\{\Delta_n\}$ is defined by (1.2). In particular we have:

$$l_{1,1} = \sqrt{m_2-m_1^2},\ l_{2,2}=\sqrt{m_4-m_2^2-\frac{(m_3-m_1m_2)^2}{(m_2-m_1^2)}} \tag{2.3}$$

$$l_{i,0} = m_i,\ l_{i,1}=\frac{(m_{i+1}-m_im_1)}{l_{1,1}}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$l_{i,2} = \frac{1}{l_{2,2}}(m_{i+2}-m_im_2-\frac{(m_3-m_2m_1)(m_{i+1}-m_im_1)}{(m_2-m_1^2)}), \tag{2.5}$$

$i=1,\ldots,N$,

iii) $\forall\ 0\leq i,j\leq N$

$$m_{i+j}=\sum_{k=0}^{\min(i,j)}l_{i,k}l_{j,k}.$$

Proof. i) Follows existence and uniqueness of Cholesky decomposition (see e.g. Theorem 8.2.1 of [5]) and the fact that if support of positive measure is infinite then matrix $\mathbf{M}_N$ exists, is symmetric and positive definite. Besides by Cauchy Theorem we have $\Delta_n=(\det L_n)^2=\prod_{i=0}^n l_{i,i}^2$. Since $\Delta_{n-1}=\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}l_{i,i}^2$ we get our assertion. ii) Follows one of the algorithms of obtaining Cholesky decomposition (so called Cholesky–Banachiewicz algorithm). □

We have obvious observations:

Proposition 2. *Let $\mathbf{M}_n$ and $\mathbf{M}_n^{-1}$, $n\geq 0$ be respectively sequence of moment matrices and the sequence of its inverses of some measure α. Let us denote $\mathbf{M}_n^{-1}$ $=[\mu_{i,j}^{(n)}]_{0\leq i,j\leq n}$ i.e. that $\mu_{i,j}^{(n)}$ is (i,j) entry of the matrix $\mathbf{M}_n^{-1}$. Let $\mathbf{L}_n$ be defined by it sequence of lower triangular matrices forming Cholesky decomposition, then*

i) $\forall n\geq 0$, $\mathbf{\Pi}_n=\mathbf{L}_n^{-1}$, $\mathbf{\Lambda}_n=\mathbf{L}_n$. That is $\mathbf{\Lambda}_n\mathbf{\Lambda}_n^T=\mathbf{M}_n$ and $\mathbf{\Pi}_n^T\mathbf{\Pi}_n=\mathbf{M}_n^{-1}$ in particular $\sum_{k=\max(i,j)}^n\pi_{k,i}\pi_{k,j}=\mu_{i,j}^{(n)}$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{\min(i,j)}\lambda_{i,k}\lambda_{j,k}=m_{i+j}$.

ii) $\mathbf{P}_n^T(x)\mathbf{P}_n(y)=\sum_{i=0}^n p_i(x)p_i(y)=\mathbf{X}_n^T\mathbf{M}_n^{-1}\mathbf{Y}_n$, thus $\mathbf{X}_n^T\mathbf{M}_n^{-1}\mathbf{Y}_n$ is the reproducing kernel and $1/\mathbf{X}_n^T\mathbf{M}_n^{-1}\mathbf{X}_n$ is the Christoffel function of the measure α.

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\mathbf{X}_n^T\mathbf{M}_n^{-1}\mathbf{Y}_n\right| &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{0,n}}\sqrt{(1+\ldots+x^{2n})(1+\ldots+y^{2n})}, \\ \frac{\lambda_{0,n}}{1+\ldots+x^{2n}} &\leq \frac{1}{\mathbf{X}_n^T M_n^{-1}\mathbf{X}_n} \leq \frac{\lambda_{n,n}}{1+\ldots+x^{2n}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_{0,n}$ *and* $\lambda_{n,n}$ *are respectively the smallest and the largest eigenvalues of the matrix* $\mathbf{M}_n$*.*

iii) $\int \mathbf{P}_n^T(x)\mathbf{M}_n\mathbf{P}_n(x)d\alpha(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n m_{2i} = \lambda_{0,n}+\ldots,\lambda_{n,n}$,

iv) $\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\mathbf{P}_n(e^{it})\mathbf{P}_n^T(e^{-it})dt = \mathbf{M}_n^{-1}$ *consequently,* $\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{j=0}^n\int_0^{2\pi}\left|p_j(e^{it})\right|^2 dt = tr(\mathbf{M}_n^{-1}) = \sum_{j=0}^n 1/\lambda_{0,n}$,

v) $\frac{1}{\lambda_{n,n}} \leq \sum_{j\geq 0}^n |p_j(0)|^2 = \mu_{0,0}^{(n)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{0,n}}$,

vi) $\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}m_j^2}{\lambda_{n,n}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |q_j\,(0)|^2 = \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq n}\mu_{i,j}^{(n)}m_{i-1}m_{j-1} \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}m_j^2}{\lambda_{0,n}}$, *and* $\sum_{j=1}^n q_j(0)p_j(0) = \sum_{j=1}^n m_{j-1}\mu_{0,j}^{(n)}$,

vii) $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}\log^2(n+2)}\sum_{i=0}^n p_i(x,\alpha) \longrightarrow 0$, $\alpha-a.s.$ *as* $n \longrightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Is shifted to Section 3. □

Remark 2. *Assertion iv) was shown in* [2]*. We present it here for completeness.*

Remark 3. *Notice that we have* $\sum_{j=0}^n p_j(0)p_j(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n \mu_{0,j}^{(n)}z^j$*. Now since* $\sum_{j\geq 0}^n |p_j(0)|^2 = \mu_{0,0}^{(n)}$ *by assetion v) we see that in the case of determined moment problem it might happen that* $\sum_{j\geq 0}^\infty |p_j(0)|^2 = \infty$ *and consequently that one of the Nevanllina functions (*$D(z)$ *as defined by Theorem 4.9 in* [6]*) might not be determined in this case.*

Remark 4. *Notice that from vi) it follows that if the problem is indeterminate i.e. when* $\sum_{j=1}^\infty |q_j\,(0)|^2 < \infty$ *(see either Theorem 2.17 or* [6]*) we get estimate of speed of the divergence of* $\lambda_{n,n}$ *to infinity.*

As a corollary we have the following observations:

Corollary 1. *Coefficients* a_n *and* $b_n : n \geq 0$ *defining 3-term recurrence are related to the moment matrix by the formulae:*

$$a_n^2 = \frac{\Delta_n\Delta_{n-2}}{\Delta_{n-1}^2}, \quad b_n = \frac{\Delta_{n-1}}{\Delta_n}l_{n+1,n}l_{n,n} - \frac{\Delta_{n-2}}{\Delta_{n-1}}l_{n,n-1}l_{n-1,n-1}, \tag{2.6}$$

for $n \geq 2$ *with* $a_0 = 0$, $a_1^2 = \Delta_2 = m_2 - m_1^2$.

Proof. Following (1.8) and Proposition 2 i) we deduce $a_n^2 = \pi_{n-1,n-1}^2/\pi_{n,n}^2$. Since $\pi_{n,n} = l_{n,n}^{-1}$ we apply (2.2). To get formula for b_n first we observe that $(i,i-1)$ entry of the the inverse of the lower triangular matrix $\mathbf{L}_n = [l_{i,j}]_{i=0,\ldots,n,j=0,\ldots,i}$ is equal to $-\frac{l_{i,i-1}}{l_{i,i}l_{i-1,i-1}}$. Besides dividing both sides of (1.10) with $j = n$ by $\pi_{n,n}$ we get:

$$\frac{\pi_{n+1,n}}{\pi_{n+1,n+1}} + b_n = \frac{\pi_{n,n-1}}{\pi_{n,n}}.$$

Now we have $\frac{\pi_{n+1,n}}{\pi_{n+1,n+1}} = -\frac{l_{n+1,n}l_{n+1,n+1}}{l_{n+1,n+1}l_{n,n}} = -\frac{l_{n+1,n}}{l_{n,n}}$ and similarly $\frac{\pi_{n,n-1}}{\pi_{n,n}} = -\frac{l_{n,n-1}}{l_{n-1,n-1}}$. Finally we use (2.2). □

Remark 5. *Notice that if α is a symmetric measure, then its moments of odd order are equal to zero consequently following (2.1) and (2.6) we deduce that coefficients b_n are all equal to zero. On the other hand coefficients a_n can be expressed as functions of determinants of moment matrix as it follows from (2.6). On its hand coefficients a_n determine completely orthogonal polynomials $p_i(x)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ by (1.9), (1.10) and (1.4) and consequently matrices $\mathbf{L}_i(\alpha)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ which by its side determine moment matrix $\mathbf{M}_n$. In other words we have an algorithm for regaining moments from the sequence of determinants of the major submatrices of the moment matrix.*

We have immediate observations concerning system of equations (1.9)-(1.10) which relate coefficients of 3-term recurrence to coefficients $\pi_{n,i}$ and consequently to the moments.

Proposition 3. *Let us denote $\eta_{n,i} = \pi_{n,i}\prod_{j=1}^{n} a_j$. i) $\forall n \geq 0 : a_n > 0$.*
ii) $\eta_{0,0} = 1, \eta_{n,n} = 1$, for $n > 0$,
iii) $\eta_{n,n-1} = -\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_i$,
iv) $\eta_{n,n-2} = \sum_{0\leq i\leq j\leq n-1} b_i b_j - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j^2$.
Assume that $\forall n \geq 0 : b_i = 0$, then
v) $\eta_{n,0} = \begin{cases} 0 & if \quad n = 2k-1 \\ (-1)^k \prod_{j=1}^{k} a_{2j-1}^2 & if \quad n = 2k \end{cases}$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$.
vi)

$$\eta_{n,n-l} = \begin{cases} 0 & if \quad l = 2k+1 \\ (-1)^k \sum_{\substack{1\leq j_1 < \ldots < j_k \leq n-1 \\ j_{m+1}-j_m \geq 2, m=1,\ldots,k-1}} \prod_{m=1}^{k} a_{j_m}^2 & if \quad l = 2k \end{cases}, \tag{2.7}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, $n \geq 2k$.

Proof. Is shifted to Section 3. □

Remark 6. *Note that coefficients $\eta_{i,j}$ are the power coefficients of the so called "monic" orthogonal polynomials i.e. orthogonal polynomials with leading coefficient equal to* 1.

Remark 7. *Notice that $(-1)^k \sum_{\substack{1\leq j_1 < \ldots < j_k \leq n-1 \\ j_{m+1}-j_m \geq 2, m=1,\ldots,k-1}} \prod_{m=1}^{k} a_{j_m}^2$ can also be written as*

$$(-1)^k \sum_{j_1=1}^{n-2k+1} a_{j_1}^2 \sum_{j_2=j_1+2}^{n-2k+3} a_{j_2}^2 \ldots \sum_{j_k=j_{k-1}+2}^{n-1} a_{j_k}^2.$$

As a corollary we get also the following recursive formula expressing moments in terms of 3-term recurrence coefficients a_n and b_n.

Proposition 4. *i) $m_j = -\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \eta_{j-1,k-1} m_k$,*
If we assume that all coefficients $b_n = 0$ $n \geq 0$, then we have simplified version of the previous statement:
ii) $m_{2k-1} = 0$ $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, $m_4 = a_1^2(a_1^2 + a_2^2)$, $m_{2k} = (\sum_{j=1}^{2k} a_j^2) m_{2k-2} - \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \eta_{2k-1,2k-1-2j} \mu_{2k-2j}$, $k \geq 3$.

Proof. i) We use the (1.6) and (2.4) which leads to the identity $\forall j \geq 1$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{j} \eta_{j,k} m_k = 0.$$

Consequently $m_j = -\sum_{k=0}^{j-1}\eta_{j,k}m_k$. Now we utilize (3.2) and get:

$$\begin{aligned} m_j &= -\sum_{k=0}^{j-1}(-b_{j-1}\eta_{j-1,k} - a_{j-1}^2\eta_{j-2,k} + \eta_{j-1,k-1})m_k \\ &= b_{j-1}\sum_{k=0}^{j-1}\eta_{j-1,k}m_k + a_{j-1}^2\sum_{k=0}^{j-2}\eta_{j-2,k}m_k - \sum_{k=0}^{j-1}\eta_{j-1,k-1}m_k \\ &= -\sum_{k=1}^{j-1}\eta_{j-1,k-1}m_k. \end{aligned}$$

ii) By i) we have $m_{2j} = -\sum_{k=1}^{2j-1}\eta_{2j-1,k-1}m_k = -\sum_{n=1}^{j-1}\eta_{2j-1,2n-1}m_{2n}$ since m_j with odd j are equal to zero. Now we recall that $\eta_{2j-1,2j-3} = -\sum_{i=1}^{2j-2}a_i^2$ by Proposition 3, iv). □

2.1. **Connection coefficients and Radon–Nikodym derivatives.** In this subsection we will express the called connection coefficients between two sets of $N-$orthogonal polynomials. So let us assume that we have two moment matrices $\mathbf{M}_N(\alpha)$ and $\mathbf{M}_N(\delta)$. Let $\mathbf{L}_N(\alpha)$ and $\mathbf{L}_N(\delta)$ be their Cholesky decomposition matrices and $\{\mathbf{P}_N(x,\alpha)\}$ and $\{\mathbf{P}_N(x,\delta)\}$ respective sets of $N-$orthogonal polynomials. Then we have

Lemma 1. *We have*

$$\mathbf{P}_N(x,\delta) = \mathbf{L}_N^{-1}(\delta)\mathbf{L}_N(\alpha)\mathbf{P}_N(x,\alpha).$$

Proof. This formula follows simple observation that

$$\mathbf{X}_n = \mathbf{L}_n(\alpha)\mathbf{P}_n(x,\alpha).$$

Then we apply Proposition 2 i). □

Following slight modification (ratio of densities is substituted by the Radon–Nikodym derivative of respective measures) of Proposition 1 iii) of [9] we deduce the following general statement concerning :

Corollary 2. *If* $\frac{d\alpha}{d\delta}(x) = 1/Q_r(x)$ *where* Q_r *is a polynomial of order* r *(positive on* $\operatorname{supp}\delta$*) then for* $N \geq r+1$ *the symmetric matrix*

$$\mathbf{L}_N^{-1}(\alpha)\mathbf{M}_N(\delta)\left(\mathbf{L}_N^{-1}(\alpha)\right)^T$$

is a 'r−ribbon' matrix i.e. its (i,j) *entries such that* $|i-j| > r$ *are zeros.*

Proof. By the above mentioned Proposition we deduce that the lower triangular matrix $\mathbf{L}_N^{-1}(\alpha)\mathbf{L}_N(\delta)$ is a '$r-$ribbon' matrix. Then we have $\mathbf{L}_N^{-1}(\alpha)\mathbf{L}_N(\delta)(\mathbf{L}_N^{-1}(\alpha)\mathbf{L}_N(\delta))^T$ $= \mathbf{L}_N^{-1}(\alpha)\mathbf{M}_N(\delta)\left(\mathbf{L}_N^{-1}(\alpha)\right)^T$. Then we use the fact that $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T$ is a '$r-$ribbon' matrix iff $\mathbf{A}$ is a lower triangular '$r-$ribbon' matrix. □

As a more interesting consequence of Lemma 1 we have important expansion of Radon–Nikodym derivative of two measures $\alpha << \delta$.

Theorem 1. *Let the two measures* α *and* δ *both having all moments be such that* $\alpha << \delta$ *and* $\int(\frac{d\alpha}{d\delta}(x))^2 d\delta(x) < \infty$, *where* $\frac{d\alpha}{d\delta}(x)$ *denotes their Radon–Nikodym derivative. Then*

$$\frac{d\alpha}{d\delta}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}E_\alpha p_j(X,\delta)p_j(x,\delta), \tag{2.8}$$

in $L_2(\operatorname{supp}\delta, \mathcal{F}, d\delta)$*, where* $\mathcal{F}$ *denotes Borel sigma field of* $\operatorname{supp}\delta$*. In particular*

$$\int (\frac{d\alpha}{d\delta}(x))^2 d\delta(x) = \sum_{j\geq 0}(E_\alpha p_j(X,\delta))^2. \tag{2.9}$$

Additionally when $\sum_{j\geq 0}(E_a p_j(X,\delta))^2 \ln(j+1)^2 < \infty$*, we have* δ *almost everywhere convergence.*

Proof. Let us notice that if we write $p_n(x,\delta) = \sum_{i=0}^n \gamma_{n,i}(\delta,\alpha)p_i(x,\alpha)$ then $\gamma_{n,0}(\delta,\alpha)$ $= \sum_{j=0}^n l_{n,j}^{(-1)}(\delta)m_j(\alpha) = \int p_n(x,\delta)d\alpha(x) = E_\alpha p_n(X,\delta)$ since we have (2.4). Now assume that $\alpha << \delta$ and more over that Radon–Nikodym derivative $\frac{d\alpha}{d\delta}(x)$ is square integrable with respect to measure δ i.e. $\int(\frac{d\alpha}{d\delta}(x))^2 d\delta(x) < \infty$, then following [9] we see that (2.8) is true. Besides (2.9) follows Bessel equality of orthogonal series. If $\sum_{j\geq 0}(E_a p_j(X,\delta))^2 \ln(j+1)^2 < \infty$ then we apply Rademacher–Menshov Theorem and get almost everywhere convergence. □

Example 1. *As a corollary we will get famous Poisson–Mehler expansion formula ((2.10), below). In order not to repeat too many known details we refer the reader to* [9], [10] *as far as the ideas and calculations are concerned and to* [3] *in order to get more properties of the mentioned below families of orthogonal polynomials.*

Namely we will consider the so called $q-$*Hermite polynomials defined for* $|q| < 1$ *as* $H_n(x|q)/\sqrt{[n]_q!}$*, where* $H_n(x|q)$ *are monic polynomials satisfying 3-term recurrence given by (2.3) of* [10].

We used here traditional notation common in the so called $q-$*series theory:* $[n]_q$ $= (1-q^n)/(1-q)$*, for* $|q| < 1$ *and* $[n]_1 = n$*,* $[n]_q! = \prod_{j=1}^n [j]_q$*, with* $[0]_q! = 1$ $(a)_n$ $= \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(1-aq^i)$*, (so called* $q-$*Pochhammer symbol).*

One can consider also the case $q = 1$ *obtaining simmilar results but for the sake of simplicity let us consider only the case* $|q| < 1$*.*

It is known that $q-$*Hermite polynomials are orthogonal for* $|q| < 1$*,* $x \in S(q)$ $= \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x| \leq 2/\sqrt{1-q}\}$ *with respect to measure with the density* $f_N(x|q)$ *whose exact formula is not very important and which is given e.g. in* [10] *(formula (2.10)). Measure with the density* $f_N(x|q)$ *is our measure* δ*. It is also known (see same references) that the measure with the density :*

$$f_{CN}(x|y,\rho,q) = f_N(x|q)\prod_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(1-\rho^2 q^k)}{w_k(x,y|\rho,q)},$$

where

$$w_k(x,y|\rho,q) = (1-\rho^2 q^{2k})^2 - (1-q)\rho q^k(1+\rho^2 q^{2k})xy + (1-q)\rho^2(x^2+y^2)q^{2k},$$

for $x, y \in S(q)$*,* $|\rho| < 1$ *for* $|q| < 1$ *has orthonormal polynomials equal to the so called Al-Salam–Chihara polynomials* $P_n(x|y,\rho,q)$ *satisfying the following 3-term recurrence given by formula (2.6) of* [10] *divided by* $\sqrt{(\rho^2)_n[n]_q!}$ *as it follows from Proposition 1,iii) of* [10] *(to get orthonormality).*

Measure with density f_{CN} *it is our measure* α*. Following formula (4.7) in* [**?**] *we deduce that*

$$E_\alpha H_n(X|q) = \rho^n H_n(y|q).$$

$y\in S(q)$ and $|\rho|<1$ are some parameters. Details are in [10] *but can be traced to earlier works of Bryc, Matysiak, Szabłowski.*

$$\frac{d\alpha}{d\delta}\left(x\right)=\prod_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(1-\rho^{2}q^{k})}{w_{k}\left(x,y|\rho,q\right)}I_{S(q)}\left(x\right).$$

Notice also that this function is bounded from above and as such square integrable with respect to any finite measure on $S(q)$. Again details of the proof of this simple fact are in [10]. *Now following (2.8) we get:*

$$\prod_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(1-\rho^{2}q^{k})}{w_{k}\left(x,y|\rho,q\right)}=\sum_{j\geq0}\frac{\rho^{j}}{[j]_{q}!}H_{j}(x|q)H_{n}(y|q), \tag{2.10}$$

for every $y\in S\left(q\right)$ and almost all $x\in S\left(q\right)$. Notice that for $q=1$ (2.10) is also true but it requires some more properties of Hermite polynomials.

Remark 8. *Situation described above is an illustration of the situation often met in the theory of Markov processes. Namely suppose that we have process $\mathbf{X}=\{X_{t}:t\in T\}$, where T is some ordered set of infinite cardinality and $\forall t\in T:$ X_{t} is a random variable with support of infinite cardinality. Suppose dP_{t} is the distribution of X_{t} and that $E_{t}\left|X_{t}\right|^{n}$ is finite for all t and n. Suppose also that $\left\{p_{n}^{(t)}\right\}$ are polynomials orthogonal with respect to dP_{t}. Further suppose that the conditional distribution of X_{s} given $X_{t}=y$ for $s>t$ i.e. $dC_{s,t}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to dP_{s} and that $\frac{dC_{s,t}}{dP_{s}}(x)$ is square integrable with respect to dP_{s} for every $s>t$ and $y\in\operatorname{supp}X_{t}$. Then as it follows from Theorem 1 in $L_{2}(\operatorname{supp}X_{s},\mathcal{F},dP_{s})$ we have:*

$$\frac{dC_{s,t}}{dP_{s}}(x)dP_{s}=(\sum_{j\geq0}E_{s,t}p_{j}^{(s)}(X_{s})p_{j}^{(s)}(x))dP_{s}.$$

That is we get expansion of the transfer function of our process.

2.2. **Linearization coefficients.**

Notice that Propositions 1 and 2 allow us to formulate an algorithm to get so called 'linearization coefficients'. Let us recall that linearization formula is popular name for the expansions of the form

$$p_{n}\left(x\right)p_{m}\left(x\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{m+m}c_{n,m,j}p_{j}(x).$$

The problem is to find coefficients $c_{n,m,j}$ for all n, $m\geq1$. One can easily deduce (following general properties of orthogonal polynomials that $c_{n,m,j}=0$ for $j=0,\ldots,|m-n|-1$. Let us fix $N>0$ and let us consider vectors and matrices as introduced in the Introduction. We have

$$\mathbf{X}_{N}\mathbf{X}_{N}^{T}=\mathbf{\Lambda}_{N}\mathbf{P}_{N}\mathbf{P}_{N}^{T}\mathbf{\Lambda}_{N}^{T}.$$

Now notice that $\mathbf{P}_{N}\mathbf{P}_{N}^{T}$ is the $(N+1)\times(N+1)$ symmetric matrix with (i,j) -entries equal to $p_{i}\left(x\right)p_{j}\left(x\right)$, while $\mathbf{X}_{N}\mathbf{X}_{N}^{T}$ is the $(N+1)\times(N+1)$ symmetric matrix with (i,j) -entries equal to x_{i+j}. Following (1.5) we know that:

$$x_{i+j}=\sum_{k=0}^{i+j}\lambda_{i+j,k}p_{k}\left(x\right).$$

Hence introducing symmetric tensor $\mathbf{T}_{2N}$ with entries $\lambda_{i+j,k}$, $i,j=0,\ldots,N$, $k=0,\ldots,N$ we have $\mathbf{X}_N\mathbf{X}_N^T=\mathbf{T}_{2N}\mathbf{P}_{2N}$. So we deduce that

$$\mathbf{P}_N\mathbf{P}_N^T=\mathbf{\Pi}_N\mathbf{T}_{2N}\mathbf{\Pi}_N^T\mathbf{P}_{2N}.$$

Another words

$$p_n(x)p_m(x)=\sum_k\left(\sum_{\substack{0\leq i\leq n,\\ 0\leq j\leq m, j+i\geq k}}\pi_{n,i}\pi_{m,j}\lambda_{i+j,k}\right)p_k(x).$$

Following general properties of orthogonal polynomials we deduce that $\forall k<|n-m|:\left(\sum_{\substack{0\leq i\leq n,\\ 0\leq j\leq m, j+i\geq k}}\pi_{n,i}\pi_{m,j}\lambda_{i+j,k}\right)=0$.

3. Proofs

Proof of Proposition 2. i) Follows uniqueness of both Cholesky decomposition and orthonormal polynomials provided sign of the leading coefficient is selected. ii) We

$$\begin{aligned}\int\mathbf{P}_n(x,\alpha)\mathbf{P}_n^T(x,\alpha)d\alpha(x) &= \mathbf{L}_n^{-1}\int\mathbf{X}_n\mathbf{X}_n^Td\alpha(x)\left(\mathbf{L}_n^{-1}\right)^T\\ &= \mathbf{L}_n^{-1}\mathbf{M}_n\left(\mathbf{L}_n^{-1}\right)^T=\mathbf{I}_n.\end{aligned}$$

ii) We have

$$\mathbf{P}_n^T(x,\alpha)\mathbf{P}_n(y,\alpha)=\mathbf{X}_n^T\left(\mathbf{L}_n^{-1}\right)^T\mathbf{L}_n^{-1}\mathbf{Y}_n=\left(\mathbf{X}_n\right)^T(\mathbf{L}_n\mathbf{L}_n^T)^{-1}\mathbf{Y}_n.$$

We obviously have

$$\left|\mathbf{X}_n\right|^2/\lambda_n\leq\mathbf{X}_n^T\mathbf{M}_n^{-1}\mathbf{Y}_n\leq\left|\mathbf{X}_n\right|^2/\lambda_1,\text{ and }\left|\mathbf{X}_n\right|^2=\sum_{i=0}^nx^{2i}.$$

iii)

$$\begin{aligned}\int\mathbf{P}_n^T(x)\mathbf{M}_n\mathbf{P}_n(x)d\alpha &= \int tr(\mathbf{M}_n\mathbf{P}_n(x)\mathbf{P}_n^T(x))d\alpha\\ &= tr\mathbf{M}_n\mathbf{L}_n^{-1}\mathbf{M}_n\left(\mathbf{L}_n^{-1}\right)^T=tr\mathbf{M}_n.\end{aligned}$$

iv) We have by Proposition 2, i) $\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\mathbf{P}_n(e^{it})\mathbf{P}_n^T(e^{-it})dt=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\mathbf{e}_n(t)\mathbf{M}_n^{-1}\mathbf{e}_n^T(-t)dt$, where we denoted $\mathbf{e}_n^T(t)=(1,e^{it},\ldots,e^{int})$. Secondly notice that $(i,j)-$th entry of the matrix $\mathbf{P}_n(e^{it})\mathbf{P}_n^T(e^{-it})$ is equal $\sum_{k=0}^{\min(i,j)}\pi_{i,k}\pi_{j,k}e^{ikt}e^{-ikt}$ which , by the same assertion is equal to $(i,j)-$th entry of the matrix $\mathbf{M}_n^{-1}$. Second statement follows the fact that $\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{j=0}^n\int_0^{2\pi}\left|p_j(e^{it})\right|^2dt$ is the trace of $\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\mathbf{P}_n(e^{it})\mathbf{P}_n^T(e^{-it})dt$.

v) By Proposition 2, ii) considered for $x=y=0$.We get $\sum_{i=0}^n\left|p_i(0)\right|^2=\mathbf{0}_n^T\mathbf{M}_n^{-1}\mathbf{0}_n$, where $\mathbf{0}_n^T=(1,0,\ldots,0)$, which means that $\sum_{i=0}^n\left|p_i(0)\right|^2$ is $(0,0)$ entry of $\mathbf{M}_n^{-1}$.

vi) Following (1.11) we see that $q_n(0)=\sum_{j=1}^n\pi_{n,j}m_{j-1}$. Another words $\mathbf{Q}_n(0)=\mathbf{\Pi}_n\boldsymbol{\mu}_n$. Now $\sum_{j=1}^n\left|q_j\left(0\right))^2\right|=\mathbf{Q}_n^T(0)\mathbf{Q}_n(0)$. On the way we utilize the fact that $\mathbf{\Pi}_n^T\mathbf{\Pi}_n=\mathbf{M}_n^{-1}$. Following similar arguments we have $\sum_{j=1}^nq_j(0)p_j(0)=(1,0,\ldots,0)\mathbf{M}_n\boldsymbol{\mu}_n$.

vii) Let us denote $\bar{p}_n(x,\alpha) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}\log^2(n+2)}\sum_{i=0}^{n} p_i(x,\alpha)$. It satisfies recursion

$$\bar{p}_{n+1}(x,\alpha) = \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{n+1}\frac{\log^2(n+2)}{\log^2(n+3)}}\bar{p}_n(x) + p_{n+1}(x)/\sqrt{n+2}\log^2(n+3).$$

Since we have $\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{\log^2(n+2)}{(n+1)\log^4(n+2)} < \infty$ we deduce by Rademacher–Menshov theorem that series $\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{p_n(x)}{\sqrt{n+1}\log^2(n+2)}$ converges $\alpha-$a.s. Further we apply [8] (Thm. 5). $\square$

Proof of Proposition 1.7. Multiplying both sides of (1.9) and (1.10) by $\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i$ we see that quantities η satisfy the following system of equations:

$$\eta_{n+1,0} + b_n\eta_{n,0} + a_n^2\eta_{n-1,0} = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\eta_{n+1,j} + b_n\eta_{n,j} + a_n^2\eta_{n-1,j} = \eta_{n,j-1}, \tag{3.2}$$

$n \geq 0$, $j \leq n+1$. i) Follows immediately formulae (2.6).

ii) Take $j = j+1$ in(3.2). Since $\eta_{k,n+1} = 0$ for $k < n+1$ we get $\eta_{n+1,n+1} = \eta_{n,n}$ with $\eta_{0,0} = \pi_{0,0} = 1$.

iii) Again we consider (3.2) with $j = n$ which takes the following form:

$$\eta_{n+1,n} + b_n = \eta_{n,n-1}$$

and notice that for $n = 0$ we have $\pi_{1,0} + b_0 = 0$. Further we deduce by induction. Suppose iii) is true for $j \leq k$. Let us consider $n = k+1$ we have $\eta_{k+1,k} + b_k = -\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} b_j$ from which it immediately follows our assertion.

iv) Notice that from (3.1) considered for $n = 1$ we get: $\eta_{2,0} + b_1\eta_{1,0} + a_1^2 = 0$ so $\eta_{2,0} = b_0b_1 - a_1^2$ so our formula is true for $n = 2$. Further we deduce by indiction. Assume that it is true for $j \leq n-1$. Considering (3.2) for $j = n-1$ we get $\eta_{n+1,n-1} + b_n\eta_{n,n-1} + a_n^2\eta_{n-1,n-1} = \eta_{n,n-2}$. Now we put formulae from assertions ii) and iii) and induction assertion and get: $\eta_{n+1,n-1} - b_n\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i + a_n^2 = \sum_{0\leq i\leq j\leq n-1} b_ib_j - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j^2$. Now since $\sum_{0\leq i\leq j\leq n} b_ib_j = b_n\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_j + \sum_{0\leq i\leq j\leq n-1} b_ib_j$,and $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j^2 + a_n^2$ we get our assertion.

v) Let us consider (3.1) with $b_n = 0$. We get then $\eta_{n+1,0} = -a_n^2\eta_{n-1,0}$. Recall that then $\eta_{0,0} = 1$ and $\eta_{1,0} = 0$. So we see that $\eta_{n,0}$ with odd n must be equal to zero.

vi) To see that under our additional assumption $\eta_{n,n-2k+1} = 0$, $k = 1,2,\ldots$, $n \geq 2k-1$ is easy since then our formula (3.2) becomes now:

$$\eta_{n+1,n+1-2k} = -a_n^2\eta_{n-1,n-2k+1} + \eta_{n,n-2k}. \tag{3.3}$$

Besides we have $\eta_{2k+1,0} = 0$ by v) and $\eta_{n-1,n-2k} = 0$ by induction assumption. Hence let us consider the case of even differences in indices i and j in $\eta_{i,j}$.

The proof will be by induction. First notice that since sign of $\eta_{n,n-2k}$ is $(-1)^k$ and of $\eta_{n-1,n-2k+1}$ is $(-1)^{k-1}$ by induction assumption we deduce that the sign of $\eta_{n+1,n+1-2k}$ is $(-1)^k$ as claimed. Secondly notice that $\eta_{n,n-2k}$ being a sum of certain products of k different a_j^2 does contain only products with $j < n$, hence the two sums i.e. $-a_n^2\eta_{n-1,n-2k+1}$ and $\eta_{n,n-2k}$ do not contain similar products and moreover since $\eta_{n-1,n-2k+1}$ does contain product of $k-1$ different a_j^2 with $j < n-1$. Consequently $\eta_{n+1,n+1-2k}$ is the sum of products of k different a_j^2 with

$j < n+1$. Secondly notice that the sum in (2.7) contains $\binom{n-k}{k}$ summands. Hence number of summands in (3.3) is equal to

$$\binom{n-1-(k-1)}{k-1} + \binom{n-k}{k} = \binom{n+1-k}{k},$$

by the well know property of the Pascal triangle. □

References

[1] Akhiezer, N. I. The classical moment problem and some related questions in analysis. Translated by N. Kemmer *Hafner Publishing Co.*, New York 1965 x+253 pp. MR0184042 (32 #1518)

[2] Berg, Christian; Szwarc, Ryszard. The smallest eigenvalue of Hankel matrices. *Constr. Approx.* **34** (2011), no. 1, 107–133. MR2796093 (2012e:15058)

[3] Ismail, Mourad E. H. Classical and quantum orthogonal polynomials in one variable. With two chapters by Walter Van Assche. With a foreword by Richard A. Askey. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 98. *Cambridge University Press,* Cambridge, 2005. xviii+706 pp. ISBN: 978-0-521-78201-2; 0-521-78201-5 MR2191786 (2007f:33001)

[4] Nevai, Paul. Géza Freud, orthogonal polynomials and Christoffel functions. A case study. *J. Approx. Theory* **48** (1986), no. 1, 167 pp. MR0862231 (88b:42032)

[5] Serre, Denis. Matrices. Theory and applications. Translated from the 2001 French original. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **216**. *Springer-Verlag, New York*, 2002. xvi+202 pp. ISBN: 0-387-95460-0 MR1923507 (2003h:15001)

[6] Simon, Barry. The classical moment problem as a self-adjoint finite difference operator. *Adv. Math.* 137 (1998), no. 1, 82–203. MR1627806 (2001e:47020)

[7] Simon, Barry. Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle. Part 1. Classical theory. *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*, **54**, Part 1. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005. xxvi+466 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-3446-0 MR2105088 (2006a:42002a)

[8] Szabłowski, P. J. Generalized laws of large numbers and auxiliary results concerning stochastic approximation with dependent disturbances. II. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **13** (1987), no. 12, 973–987. MR0898944 (88k:62160b)

[9] Szabłowski, Paweł J. Expansions of one density via polynomials orthogonal with respect to the other. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **383** (2011), no. 1, 35–54. MR2812716, http://arxiv.org/abs/1011.1492

[10] Szabłowski, Paweł J. On the structure and probabilistic interpretation of Askey-Wilson densities and polynomials with complex parameters. *J. Funct. Anal.* **261** (2011), no. 3, 635–659. MR2799574, http://arxiv.org/abs/1011.1541

Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences,, Warsaw University of Technology, ul. Koszykowa 75, 00-662 Warsaw, Poland

E-mail address: `pawel.szablowski@gmail.com`